

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES TELECOM
ASSOCIATION,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION AND UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

Case No. 15-1063 (and
consolidated cases)

**MOTION OF NEW AMERICA’S OPEN TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE FOR
LEAVE TO INTERVENE
IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS**

New America’s Open Technology Institute (OTI) hereby moves, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2348, 47 U.S.C. § 402(e), Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 15(b) and D.C. Circuit Rule 15(d), to intervene in these consolidated appeals in support of Respondents Federal Communications Commission (the “FCC”) and the United States of America.¹

¹ Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 15(b), OTI understands that its motion to intervene in this case will also be deemed a motion to intervene in the cases *CenturyLink v. FCC*, D.C. Circuit Case No. 15-1099, *National Cable & Telecommunications Association v. FCC*, D.C. Circuit Case No. 15-1090, *CTIA—The Wireless Association v. FCC*, DC Circuit Case No. 15-1091, *AT&T Inc. v. FCC*, D.C.

Statement of Interest

Strong network neutrality rules are central to achieving OTI’s mission and supporting its development of important technological tools to both measure and increase broadband access and adoption. New America is a 501(c)(3) organization that is dedicated to the renewal of American politics, prosperity, and purpose in the Digital Age. New America carries out its mission as a nonprofit civic enterprise: an intellectual venture capital fund, think tank, technology laboratory, public forum, and media platform.

The Open Technology Institute (OTI) is a program within New America that is committed to freedom, social justice, and promoting the deployment of broadband in the United States and around the world. To achieve these goals, OTI engages in traditional policy debates, builds technology, and deploys tools within communities. OTI brings together a unique mix of technologists, policy experts, lawyers, and community organizers to address the impacts of technology and policy on people, commerce, and communities. As the FCC has repeatedly noted,² and this Court has acknowledged,³ strong open Internet rules can promote the “virtuous cycle” that drives innovation and investment on the Internet, ultimately

Circuit Case No. 15-1092, and *American Cable Association v. FCC*, D.C. Circuit Case No. 15-1095, because all of the foregoing cases concern direct review of the same agency order.

² See *Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet*, GN Docket No. 14-28, Report and Order on Remand, Declaratory Ruling, and Order (rel. Mar. 12, 2015).

³ See *Verizon v. FCC*, 740 F.3d 623 (D.C. Cir. 2014).

increasing broadband build-out and investment. Preserving the open Internet is therefore central to OTI's mission. Additionally, OTI relies on an open Internet to communicate with the public in furtherance of its mission as a 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organization.

Just as an open Internet is central to OTI's mission, so to is it central to OTI's work, which relies on the Internet as an open platform. For example, OTI develops technical tools such as the Commotion Wireless Project ("Commotion") that are designed to help communities connect to the Internet when existing infrastructure is inadequate.⁴ OTI is also a partner in the Measurement Lab research consortium ("M-Lab"), an open, distributed server platform on which researchers can deploy open source Internet measurement tools.⁵ M-Lab's goal is to advance network research and empower the public with useful information about their broadband and mobile connections. In the absence of rules to protect an open Internet, both Commotion's ability to reach communities and M-Lab's capabilities for broadband measurement could be compromised.

OTI's strong interest in this case is further demonstrated by its active participation in the *Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet* proceeding,

⁴ See Commotion, <https://commotionwireless.net/> (last visited May 13, 2015).

⁵ See Measurement Lab, <http://www.measurementlab.net/> (last visited May 13, 2015).

wherein it filed initial comments,⁶ reply comments⁷ and numerous *ex partes* detailing the specific consumer harms that would occur in the absence of strong open Internet protections. In particular, OTI detailed the harms to consumers that have occurred as a result of interconnection disputes⁸ and articulated the clear need for a common regulatory framework that covered both wired and wireless networks.⁹

As demonstrated above, OTI's interests will be substantially affected by this Court's review of the FCC's *Order*. OTI is therefore a "party in interest in the proceeding" entitled to intervene "as of right" in this matter. 28 U.S.C § 2348; 47 U.S.C. § 402(e).

OTI's motion is timely as it is filed within 30 days after the most recent timely-filed petition in these consolidated appeals.¹⁰ *See* 47 U.S.C. § 402(e); D.C. Circuit R. 15(b).

⁶ Comments of New America Foundation's Open Technology Institute, GN Docket Nos. 10-127, 14-28 (July 17, 2014).

⁷ Reply Comments of New America Foundation's Open Technology Institute, GN Docket Nos. 10-127, 14-28 (September 15, 2014).

⁸ Notice of Ex Parte Communications, Open Technology Institute at New America, GN Docket Nos. 10-127, 14-28, MB Docket No. 14-57 (November 18, 2014); Notice of Ex Parte Communications, Open Technology Institute at New America, GN Docket Nos. 10-127, 14-28, MB Docket No. 14-57 (December 22, 2014).

⁹ Notice of Ex Parte Communications, Open Technology Institute at New America, GN Docket Nos. 10-127, 14-28 (November 17, 2014).

¹⁰ The most recently-filed petition (No. 15-1128) was filed on May 7, 2015. This motion is also filed within 30 days of the earliest timely petition (No. 15-1086),

New America's Open Technology Institute therefore respectfully requests that this Court grant its motion for leave to intervene in support of the Respondents Federal Communications Commission and United States of America in the above-captioned case and any other cases with which this case has been or may hereafter be consolidated.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sarah J. Morris

Sarah J. Morris
Kevin S. Bankston
Open Technology Institute | New America
1899 L Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: 202-986-2700
Fax: 202-986-3696
morriss@newamerica.org
bankston@newamerica.org

*Counsel for New America's Open Technology
Institute*

which was filed on April 13, 2015, the date the Order was published in the Federal Register.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 26.1 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Circuit Rule 26.1, New America submits the following corporate disclosure statement.

New America is a non-profit organization incorporated in the District of Columbia. New America has no parent corporation, nor is there any publicly-held corporation that owns stock or other interest in New America.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sarah J. Morris

Sarah J. Morris
Kevin S. Bankston
Open Technology Institute | New America
1899 L Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: 202-986-2700
Fax: 202-986-3696
morriss@newamerica.org
bankston@newamerica.org

*Counsel for New America's Open Technology
Institute*

May 13, 2015

UNITED STATES TELECOM
ASSOCIATION,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION AND UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

Case No. 15-1063 (and
consolidated cases)

CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rules 27(a)(4) and 28(a)(1)(A), New America's Open Technology Institute certifies the following:

In Case No. 15-1063 and consolidated cases, the Petitioners are the United States Telecom Association, CTIA – The Wireless Association, Century Link, the National Cable and Telecommunications Association, the Wireless Internet Service Providers Association, and Daniel Berninger. The Respondents are the Federal Communications Commission and the United States of America.

Movants to Intervene on behalf of the FCC include Public Knowledge, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, Kickstarter, Inc., Meetup, Inc., Tumblr, Inc., Union Square Ventures, LLC, Vimeo, LLC, Etsy, Inc., Level 3 Communications, LLC, DISH Network Corporation, COMPTTEL, Netflix, Inc., Cogent Communications, Inc., and the National Association of State Utility

Consumer Advocates. The Independent Telephone and Telecommunications Alliance has moved to intervene in support of Petitioner CenturyLink. No amici have appeared before this Court at this time. New America's Open Technology Institute seeks leave to appear in this matter as an Intervenor in support of Respondents.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sarah J. Morris

Sarah J. Morris
Kevin S. Bankston
Open Technology Institute | New America
1899 L Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: 202-986-2700
Fax: 202-986-3696
morriss@newamerica.org
bankston@newamerica.org

*Counsel for New America's Open Technology
Institute*

May 13, 2015

UNITED STATES TELECOM
ASSOCIATION,

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION AND UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

Case No. 15-1063 (and
consolidated cases)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this thirteenth day of May, 2015, I caused true and correct copies of the Motion to Intervene from New America's Open Technology Institute, as well as its Corporate Disclosures and Certificate as to Parties, to be filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court using the Case Management and Electronic Case Files ("CM/ECF") system for the D.C. Circuit. The persons listed below will be served by the CM/ECF system or by U.S. mail if not registered with the CM/ECF system:

James Carr
David Morris Gossett
Jacob M. Lewis
Richard Kiser Welch
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
Room 8-A741
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1700

*Counsel for Respondent Federal
Communications Commission*

Nickolai G. Levin
Kristen C. Limarzi
Robert J. Wiggers
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF JUSTICE
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

*Counsel for Respondent United States
of America*

Michael K. Kellogg
Scott H. Angstreich
KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN,
TODD, EVANS & FIGEL, PLCC
1615 M Street N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 326-7900

Kathleen M. Sullivan
QUINN EMANUEL URGUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLC
51 Madison Avenue
22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
(212) 849-7000

*Counsel for Petitioner United States
Telecom Association*

Brett A. Shumate
Andrew G. McBride
Eve Klindera Reed
WILEY REIN LLP
1776 K Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 719-7000

*Counsel for Petitioner Alamo
Broadband Inc.*

Miguel A Estrada
Theodore B. Olson
Jonathan C. Bond
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER
LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-8500

Rick C. Chessen
Neal M. Goldberg
Michael S. Schooler
NATIONAL CABLE &
TELECOMMUNICATIONS
ASSOCIATION
25 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.,
Suite 100
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 222-2445

Matthew A. Brill
Matthew T. Murchison
Jonathan Y. Ellis
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street, N.W.,

Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 637-2200

*Counsel for Petitioner National Cable
& Telecommunications Association*

Michael K. Kellogg
Scott H. Angstreich
KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN,
TODD, EVANS & FIGEL, PLCC
1615 M Street N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 326-7900

Peter D. Keisler
James P. Young
C. Frederick Beckner III
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 736-8000

Gary L. Phillips
Christopher M. Heimann
AT&T, INC.
1120 20th Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 457-3055

Counsel for Petitioner AT&T Inc.

Jeffrey A. Lamken
MOLO LAMKEN LLP
The Watergate, Suite 660
600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 556-2000

*Counsel for Petitioner American
Cable Association*

David H. Solomon
Russell P. Hanswer
WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER
LLP
2300 N Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20037

Counsel for Petitioner CenturyLink

Michael K. Kellogg
Scott H. Angstreich
KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN,
TODD, EVANS & FIGEL, PLCC
1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 326-7900

Helgi C. Walker
Michael R. Huston
Kellam M. Conover
GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER
LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-8500

*Counsel for Petitioner CTIA – The
Wireless Association*

Richard E. Wiley
Bennett L. Ross
Brett A. Shumate
WILEY REIN LLP
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 719-7000

*Counsel for Petitioner Daniel
Berninger*

Stephan E. Coran
Dennis P. Corbett
LERMAN SENTER PLLC
2000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 429-8970

*Counsel for Petitioner Wireless
Internet Service Providers
Association*

Harold J. Feld
PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE
1818 N Street, N.W.
Suite 410
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 861-0020

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor Public
Knowledge*

David C. Bergmann
LAW OFFICE OF DAVID C.
BERGMANN
3293 Noreen Drive
Columbus, OH 43221
(614) 771-5979

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
National Association of State Utility
Consumer Advocates*

Seth D. Greenstein
Robert S. Schwartz
CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300N

Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 204-3500

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
Kickstarter, Inc.*

Seth D. Greenstein
Robert S. Schwartz
CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300N
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 204-3500

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
Meetup, Inc.*

Seth D. Greenstein
Robert S. Schwartz
CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300N
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 204-3500

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor Union
Square Ventures, LLC*

James Bradford Ramsay
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
REGULATORY UTILITY
COMMISSIONERS
1101 Vermont Avenue, Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 898-2207

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners*

Seth D. Greenstein
Robert S. Schwartz
CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300N
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 204-3500

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor Etsy,
Inc.*

Seth D. Greenstein
Robert S. Schwartz
CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300N
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 204-3500

Marvin Ammori
AMMORI GROUP
1718 M Street, N.W., Suite 1990
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 505-3680
marvin@ammorigroup.com

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
Tumblr, Inc.*

Seth D. Greenstein
Robert S. Schwartz
CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1300N
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 204-3500

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
Vimeo, LLC*

Robert M. Cooper
James P. Denvir III
Scott E. Gant
Hamish P.M. Hume
Hershel A Wancjer
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER
LLP
5301 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20015
(202) 237-2727

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
Cogent Communications, Inc.*

Markham C. Erickson
Andrew W. Guhr
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
Netflix, Inc.*

Markham C. Erickson
Andrew W. Guhr
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor Level
3 Communications, LLC*

Markham C. Erickson
Andrew W. Guhr
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor
COMPTEL*

Pantelis Michalopoulos
Stephanie A. Roy
Andrew W. Guhr
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

*Counsel for Movant-Intervenor DISH
Network Corporation*

/s/ Sarah J. Morris

Sarah J. Morris

Open Technology Institute | New America

1899 L Street NW, Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel: 202-986-2700

Fax: 202-986-3696

morriss@newamerica.org

bankston@newamerica.org

*Counsel for New America's Open Technology
Institute*

May 13, 2015